

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

JAMES EDWARD SCOTT, III,

**Plaintiff,**

v.

QUIGLEY, et al.,

## Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-CV-00270-ART-CLB

**ORDER TO FILE OPPOSITION OR  
RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

10 On December 13, 2024, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF  
11 No. 51.) An opposition or response to this motion was due on or before Friday, January  
12 3, 2025. Plaintiff was given notice of the motion pursuant to the requirements of *Klingele*  
13 v. *Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988), and *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.  
14 1998). (ECF No. 55.) To date, Plaintiff has failed to file an opposition.

15           The Court will *sua sponte* grant Plaintiff an extension of time to **Tuesday, January**  
16 **21, 2025** to file an opposition to the motion for summary judgment. No further extensions  
17 of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. If Plaintiff fails to file an  
opposition, the motion will be submitted to the Court for decision.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of January 2025.

2025.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

---

**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

---